

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 . www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,671	04/20/2000	Ching-Keng Hsieh	VX002126 6182	
75	590 11/29/2001			
Varndell & Varndell PLLC 106 - A SOUTH COLUMBUS STREET Alexandria, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, WILLIAM L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
:			3628	#5
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/553,671	HS	HSIEH, CHING-KENG		
		Examiner	Art	t Unit		
		William L. Miller	362	-		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 O</u>	october 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-fin	al.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2000</u> is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approved	l b)∏ disapproved	by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	v ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1		O-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/553,671

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, namely Figs. 2-8 and claims 1 and 2, in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claim 3 is thus withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the battery circuit means, the flashing circuit, and the rechargeable battery must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means", should be avoided.

Art Unit: 3628

2

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities (according to applicant's 5. page and line numbering): claim 1, page 8, line 22 - change "insalled" to --installed--; and claim 1, page 9, line 25 - change "devide" to --device--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. In claim 1, page 9, lines 3 and 10 (according to applicant's page and line numbering), the phrase "said transparent rack body" lacks antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Miller, Schradieck et al., Chou, Arie et al., Yeh, Weed et al., Scolari et al., McDowell II, and Smith disclose similar road sign assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Miller whose telephone number is 703 305 3978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BethAnne Dayoan can be reached on 703 308 3865. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 3687 for regular communications and 703 308 3687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 1113.

William L. Miller Examiner Art Unit 3628

wlm November 26, 2001

right

B. DAYOAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600